

Modelling of the coupling between an atmospheric flow and a sea state

GdR EMR: Journée éolien offshore 09/03/16

Marie Cathelain

LHEEA - Ecole Centrale de Nantes, France

Director: P. Ferrant

Advisor: Y. Perignon

marie.cathelain@ec-nantes.fr

in collaboration with Peter P. Sullivan

National Center for Atmospheric Research, Boulder, Colorado





Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

Context and objectives

State of the art

Numerical modelling

Results





Results Outlook and conclusions

Introduction

Increasing offshore wind energy exploitation & Sea state forecast



- Major factors:
 - Vertical atmospheric stability/instability
 - Wave-induced effects
- Turbulence/transfer...



Results Outlook and conclusions

Introduction

Simplistic hypotheses (1)

- Wind profile
 - Power law

$$U(z) = U_{hub} \left(\frac{z}{z_{hub}}\right)^{\alpha}$$

- Logarithmic law (Monin-Obukhov) $U(z) = \frac{u_*}{\kappa} \ln\left(\frac{z}{z_0}\right)$
- Sea surface roughness
 - Charnock's relation $z_0 = \frac{A_c u_*^2}{g}$ with A_c a constant
 - Local sea state features are not taken into account

Reality (1)

- Wind profile
 - Laws are not really satisfied compared to field experiments
 - Log law is not satisfied above the surface layer
- Sea surface roughness
 - A_c depends on local sea state

Objective

Fine description of the offshore wind resource and its interaction with the underlying sea state

(1) Kalvig et al., Exploring the gap between 'best knowledge' and 'best practice' in boundary layer meteorology for offshore wind energy. 4/20 Wind Energy, 2014



Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

Context and objectives

State of the art

Numerical modelling

Results

Outlook and conclusions



5/20



Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

Numerical simulations:

- > DNS (Sullivan et al. 2000, Rutgersson et Sullivan 2005)
- LES (Sullivan et al. 2008, 2014)

U velocity field / monchromatic wave



x (m) FIG. 5. Contours of the *u* component of the horizontal wind field for cases with moving and stationary surface waves. The no mensional field shown is \overline{wU}_{μ} (top) Wind following waves; (middle) wind opposing waves; and (bottom) stationary bunches. For e case the geostrophic wind $(U_{\mu}, V_{\mu}) = (5, 0)$ m s⁻¹ and the wave slope ak = 0.1 where the wave amplitude a = 1.6 m. In the top middle panels the wave phase speed c = 12.5 m s⁻¹. The color bar changes between the top and middle panels. Note the superp strophic winds near the surface in the top panel.



Figure 4: Snapshot of static pressure fluctuations p'/ρ in an x - z plane near the water surface. The upper panel is a swell dominated regime with wave age ~ 4.8 while the lower panel is a case near wind-wave equilibrium with wave age ~ 1.4. The wave spectrum is a Pierson-Moskowitz spectrum. Notice the coherence between the wave field and the pressure fluctuations in the case with swell. The color bar is in units of m s⁻² and the range is different between the two cases.

P pressure field / wave spectrum



Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

Numerical simulations:

Offshore wind farm modelling (Yang et al., 2014)



Incompressible Navier-Stokes flow equations

LES-HOS coupling

Wind turbines modelled with actuator disk method

Yang, Meneveau and Shen. Effect of downwind swells on offshore wind energy harvesting – A large-eddy simulation. *Renew.* Energy 70, 2014.



Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

- Context and objectives
- State of the art
- Numerical modelling
- High-order spectral (HOS) code solving the wave propagation
- Coupling with an atmospheric code (CFD-LES)

Results





Results Outlook and conclusions

HOS formulation





Results Outlook and conclusions

Atmospheric code

Contribution of P.P. Sullivan, NCAR, Colorado (LabexMER mobility)

- Domain $4\lambda \times 4\lambda \times 1\lambda$
- Discretization $256 \times 256 \times 128$

NB: Typical simulations are

(3000,3000,800) m discretized using (1024,1024,512) grid points on 2048 cores

- Input data
 - Bottom BC = wave field
 - Wavelength λ -
 - Initial friction velocity u_* defined with wave age $\frac{c}{u}$
- Turbulence **initialization** with **heating** flux at the bottom (flat)
- \blacktriangleright At t = 0 and during a few iterations, non moving and flat mesh
- 0.01 < *t* < 0.25 : **ramp** on the wave amplitude





Results Outlook and conclusions

Atmospheric code

CFD code

 \geq

- LES modelling of the atmospheric boundary layer \geq
- Here, incompressible air and neutral atmosphere \geq
- **Spatially filtered** quantities $\left(u_i, p^* = \frac{p}{o}, e\right)$ \succ
 - GS grid scale = resolved quantities _
 - SGS subgrid scale = modelled quantities



- Surface-following mesh
- Fine discretization near the free surface to decrease the dependence on the SGS \geq modelling



Results Outlook and conclusions

Coupling procedure

Coupling with a nonlinear sea state model (eg. Yang and Shen, 2011)





Yang and Shen. Simulation of viscous flows with undulatory boundaries: PartII. Coupling with other solvers for two-fluid computations. J. Comput. Phys., 2011.



Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

- Context and objectives
- State of the art
- Numerical modelling
- High-order spectral (HOS) code solving the wave propagation
- Coupling with an atmospheric code (CFD-LES)

Results





Results Outlook and conclusions

Results: one-way coupling

1) HOS-LES simulations for different wave ages

		Case 1	Case 2	Case 3
Ratio wind/ wave	Wave age (Cp/u*)	1.6	15	60
Wave parameters	Wavelength λ	0.23 m	20 m	100 m
	Period T	0.39 s	3.6 s	8 s
	Amplitude A	7.4e-3 m	6.4e-1 m	3.2 m
	Phase velocity Cp	0.60 m/s	5.6 m/s	12.5 m/s
Wind param.	Initial friction velocity	0.38 m/s	0.37 m/s	0.21 m/s
	U10m log law	11 m/s	10.8 m/s	6 m/s





Results Outlook and conclusions

Results: one-way coupling

1) HOS-LES simulations for different wave ages





15/20



Results Outlook and conclusions

Results: one-way coupling

1) HOS-LES simulations for different wave ages



The wind profile does not satisfy the log law (Monin-Obukhov theory), especially at high wave ages (low-level jet ⁽¹⁾)



Results Outlook and conclusions

Results: one-way coupling

- 2) Monochromatic (RF) and JONSWAP(HOS) cases
- Wave age = 1.6
- RF: $\lambda = 0.23$ m, A = 7.4e 3 m, kA = 0.2
- HOS: $H_s = 2.1e 2$ m, $T_p = 0.39$ s $\Leftrightarrow kA = 0.2$
- Initial friction velocity = 0.38 m/s



17/20



Results Outlook and conclusions

Results: two-way coupling

3) Monochromatic RF $\lambda = 0.23$ m, A = 7.4e - 3 m, kA = 0.2





Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

- Context and objectives
- State of the art
- Numerical modelling
- High-order spectral (HOS) code solving the wave propagation
- Coupling with an atmospheric code (CFD-LES)

Results





Results Outlook and conclusions

- HOS-LES coupling through MPI communication
 - ➢ LES pressure to HOS
 - Wave elevation and orbital velocities from HOS to LES
- Large range of wave ages
 - At small wave ages, wind profile can be estimated through log law and parameters extracted from the LES simulation
 - Log law does not predict low-level jet at high wave age
- > LES simulations to be validated with data (in process)
- Two-way coupling: a dissipation model will be implemented in HOS





Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

Thank you for your attention





Results Outlook and conclusions

Wind-wave coupling

Field experiments:

- Disturbance of the momentum flux inside the wave boundary layer and far above in the atmospheric boundary layer.
- \blacktriangleright When $U_{wave} > U_{wind}$, in some conditions
 - Low-level jet near the free surface





Kudryavtsev et Makin, Impact of Swell on the Marine Atmospheric Boundary Layer. Journal of Physical Oceanography, 2003